arXiv:1603.06168v1 [hep-th] 20 Mar 2016

Topological String Partition Function on Generalised Conifolds

Elizabeth Gasparim, Carlos A. B. Varea, Bruno Suzuki and Alexander Torres-Gomez

Abstract

We show that the partition function on a generalised conifold $C_{m,n}$ with $\binom{m+n}{m}$ crepant resolutions can be equivalently computed on the compound du Val singularity $A_{m+n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ with a unique crepant resolution.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Topological string partition function 2
1.2 Summary of results 4

2 Partition function on singular Calabi–Yaus **6**
2.1 Toric Calabi–Yau threefolds 6
2.2 Generalised conifolds 7
2.2.1 Partition functions on $C_{m,n}$ and cA_{m+n-1} 8

3 Example **9**

4 Final remarks **12**

1 Introduction

The topological string partition function with target space on toric singular varieties was defined in [GKMR] via products of the partition functions on their crepant resolutions. We could think of the crepant resolutions as all the possible evolutions of a singular toric variety where we went through a topological-changing transition from a singular to a non-singular variety. These resolutions are birationally equivalent but topologically distinct. Before choosing a specific resolution we could say that the variety is in a superposition of the different crepant resolutions. Thus, the singular toric variety free energy is defined as the sum of the contribution for the different topologies, crepant resolutions, and the partition function (that is, the exponential of the free energy) as the product.

One of the main motivations behind the work [GKMR] was to check if in the computation of the topological string partition function with target space a generalised conifold, $C_{m,n} := \{(x, y, z, w) \mid xy - z^m w^n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, there exists a preferred crepant resolution. In other words, from a probabilistic point of view, if one of the resolutions carries more importance (weight) than the others on the partition function. What they found out was that there was not such a preferred resolution or, in other words, that each resolution on the superposition of crepant resolutions has the same weight.

In this paper we go one step further and claim that although there is no a preferred resolution of $C_{m,n}$ it is possible to define a new conifold $C_{0,m+n}$ with a unique resolution that produces the same topological string partition function.

Explicitly, the topological string partition function with target on the conifold $C_{m,n}$ is proportional to the one computed on $C_{0,m+n}$ to some power d, see below. Now, the variety $C_{0,m+n} \cong \mathbb{C} \times A_{m+n-1}$ is the compound du Val singularity cA_{m+n-1}, where $A_{m+n-1} = \{(x, y, w) \mid xy - w^{m+n} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ is the A_{m+n-1} singularity (orbifold). Note that cA_{m+n-1} has 1-dimensional singularities.

Thus, in a sense, we found a relation or duality between the topological string partition function with target space on the six dimensional generalised conifold $C_{m,n}$ and the one on the four dimensional A_{m+n-1} orbifold.

In general, when we say that there is a duality we mean that there are two equivalent but different descriptions of the same phenomenon, in the sense that observables in both descriptions can be identified. Dualities are ubiquitous in theoretical physics and in particular in string theory. Their importance comes from the fact that when a duality exists, a system which looks extremely difficult to analyse using the current formulation becomes easier when the dual formulation is used. Some examples of dualities are T-duality, AdS/CFT holography, mirror symmetry, AGT conjecture, etc.

The kind of relation or duality that we explain in this paper is in the same spirit as T-duality (on the bosonic closed string theory) where two geometric background can be equivalently used to describe an observable as the partition function. Thus, although the dual varieties $C_{m,n}$ and cA_{m+n-1} are geometrically and algebraically distinct, they are nevertheless physically equivalent as target spaces for topological strings on singular varieties.

1.1 Topological string partition function

Topological field theories of Witten type (or cohomological type) have been used since they were discovered to study moduli spaces from a field theoretical point of view. They borrow tools from quantum field theory, which is the framework used to describe the standard model of particle physics, to answer pure mathematical questions.

Topological string theory studies maps from a source Riemann surface of genus g with n marked points to a target Calabi–Yau space. There are two types of topological strings known as type A and type B. The type A is about holomorphic maps and the type B about constant maps. The two types can be related by mirror symmetry, that might also be called a mirror duality. In these article we are concerned with topological string theory of type A.

The topological string partition function has been used to study different invariants related to Calabi–Yau target spaces such as the Gromov–Witten invariants which count holomorphic algebraic curves on a Calabi–Yau threefold. Other kinds of invariants that can be described are Gopakumar–Vafa and Donaldson–Thomas. Although, Gopakumar–Vafa and Donaldson–Thomas invariants are not associated to maps between a source and a target spaces it has been shown that they are related to the topological string partition function. In particular Donaldson–Thomas invariants are equivalent to Gromov–Witten invariants, as shown in [MNOP1], [MNOP2].

The type A topological string partition function Z is given by the exponential of a generating function F, known as free energy in the physics literature, that is, $Z = \exp(F)$. Now, the generating function F is expressed as sum over the genus g as [CW]

$$F = \sum_{g \geq 0} \lambda^{2g-2} F_g = \frac{1}{6\lambda^2} a_{ijk} t^i t^j t^k - \frac{1}{24} b_i t^i + F_{\text{inst}} \,, \tag{1.1}$$

where λ is the topological string coupling constant, t_i are Kähler parameters of the Calabi–Yau, a_{ijk} are triple intersection numbers, b_i is related to the second Chern class of the Calabi–Yau and F_{inst} has a non-polynomial dependence on t_i. The term F_{inst} can be described by different formal series with coefficients given by the Gromov–Witten invariants or Gopakumar–Vafa invariants or Donaldson–Thomas invariants, which we denote as F_{GW} or F_{GV} or F_{DT}, respectively [CW].

Gromov–Witten invariants The Gromov–Witten generating function is

$$F_{\mathrm{GW}}(\lambda, Q) = \sum_{g\geq 0} \sum_{\beta\in H_2^+(X)} N_{g,\beta}\, \lambda^{2g-2} Q^\beta \tag{1.2}$$

where the set of rational numbers $N_{g,\beta}$ are the Gromov–Witten invariants which count the number of holomorphic maps from a genus g Riemann surface whose image is in the second homology class β of the Calabi–Yau.

We now recall the mathematical definition of the Gromov–Witten invariants. We write $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,\beta)$ for the collection of maps from stable, n-pointed curves of genus g into X for which

$$[f(C)] = f_*[C] = \beta \in H_2(X;\,\mathbb{Z})\,. \tag{1.3}$$

$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,\beta)$ has a virtual fundamental class of virtual dimension

$$\mathrm{vd} = (1-g)(\dim X - 3) - K_X(\beta) + n\,. \tag{1.4}$$

Consequently, dimension of the classes $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,\beta)]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is independent of β when X is Calabi–Yau. Moreover, the unpointed moduli $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X,\beta)$ has virtual dimension zero for all g if $\dim X = 3$, so on a three-dimensional Calabi–Yau, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X,\beta)$ counts curves. Here we recall the definition for the genus zero case, and refer the reader to [MNOP2, § 2] for higher genera.

Definition 1.1. *Assume that the genus g of the curve C is zero, $g(C) = 0$. Let*

$$\mathrm{ev}_i\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X,\beta) \to X\,, \quad \big(f\colon (C;P)\to X\big) \mapsto f(P_i) \tag{1.5}$$

be the i^{th} evaluation map. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(\gamma_i) = \mathrm{vd}$ for some $\gamma_i \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X,\beta))$. Then the genus-0 Gromov–Witten invariants *are*

$$\langle\gamma\rangle_\beta := \mathrm{ev}_1^*(\gamma_1)\cup\cdots\cup\mathrm{ev}_n^*(\gamma_n)\cap[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X,\beta)]^{\mathrm{vir}}\,. \tag{1.6}$$

When $\dim X = 3$, X is Calabi–Yau (i.e. $K_X = 0$), arbitrary genus g and $n = 0$, we have the *unmarked Gromov–Witten invariants*

$$N_{g,\beta}(X) := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(X,\beta)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} 1\,.$$

Gopakumar–Vafa invariants Counting BPS states on M-theory compactified on a Calabi–Yau treefold we obtain the Gopakumar–Vafa generating function as

$$F_{\mathrm{GV}}(\lambda, Q) = \sum_{h\geq 0}\sum_{\beta\in H_2^+(X)}\sum_{d\geq 1} n_{h,\beta}\frac{1}{d}\left[2\sin\left(\frac{d\lambda}{2}\right)\right]^{2g-2} Q^{d\beta} \tag{1.7}$$

where the set of integer numbers $n_{h,\beta}$ are the Gopakumar–Vafa invariants. From the string theory point of view, they count BPS states corresponding to M2-branes wrapping two cycles of homology class β inside the Calabi–Yau.

The GW partition function can be rewritten as [CW]

$$Z_{\mathrm{GW}} = \left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-e^{\pm\lambda k})^{k\cdot n_{0,0}}\right]\left[\prod_{k\geq 1,\beta>0}(1-e^{\pm\lambda k}Q^\beta)^{k\cdot n_{0,\beta}}\right]\left[\prod_{k\geq 1,\beta>0}\prod_{l=0}^{2\beta-2}(1-e^{\pm\lambda(h-l-1)}Q^\beta)^{(-1)^{h+l}\cdot\binom{2h-2}{l}\cdot n_{h,\beta}}\right]. \tag{1.8}$$

Donaldson–Thomas invariants Here we work with threefolds without compact 4-cycles. In this case, from the physical perspective, the Donaldson–Thomas invariants counts the number of D6-D2-D0 BPS bound states, resulting from D6-branes wrapped on the Calabi–Yau threefold and D2-brane wrapped on a second homology class cycle $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

The Donaldson–Thomas representation of the partition function $Z_{\text{DT}} = \exp(F_{\text{DT}})$ is

$$Z_{\text{DT}}(X; q, v) = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{N}_{n,\beta}(X) q^n v^{\beta} \,, \tag{1.9}$$

where the set of integers $\widetilde{N}_{n,\beta}(X)$ are the Donaldson–Thomas invariants.

We now recall the mathematical definition of the Donaldson–Thomas invariants. As usual $\mathcal{O}_X$ denotes the structure sheaf of an algebraic variety X. An *ideal subsheaf* $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is a torsion-free rank-1 sheaf with trivial determinant. It follows that $\mathcal{I}^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus the evaluation map determines a quotient

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathcal{I}^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \big/ \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_X = \imath_* \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0 \,, \tag{1.10}$$

where $Y \subseteq X$ is the support of the quotient and $\mathcal{O}_Y := (\mathcal{O}_X \big/ \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_X)|_Y$ is the structure sheaf of the corresponding subspace. Let $[Y] \in H_2(X;\, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the cycle determined by the 1-dimensional components of Y. We denote by

$$I_n(X, \beta) \tag{1.11}$$

the Hilbert scheme of ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ for which the quotient Y in (1.10) has dimension at most 1, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = n$ and $[Y] = \beta \in H_2(X;\, \mathbb{Z})$. If X is a smooth, projective Calabi-Yau threefold, then the virtual dimension of $I_n(X, \beta)$ is zero, and we write

$$\widetilde{N}_{n,\beta}(X) := \int_{[I_n(X,\beta)]^{\text{vir}}} 1 \tag{1.12}$$

for the number of such ideal sheaves. These numbers can be assembled into a partition function, as in (1.9),

$$Z_{\text{DT}}(X; q, v) = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{N}_{n,\beta}(X) q^n v^{\beta} = \sum_{\beta} Z_{\text{DT}}(X; q)_{\beta} v^{\beta} \,. \tag{1.13}$$

The degree-0 term is

$$Z_{\text{DT}}(X; q)_0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \widetilde{N}_{n,0}(X) q^n. \tag{1.14}$$

1.2 Summary of results

The main result of this paper is that, given a generalised conifold $C_{m,n} := \{(x, y, z, w) \mid xy - z^m w^n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, the topological string partition function on $C_{m,n}$ is proportional to the one on the compound du Val singularity cA_{m+n-1} to the power of d (with d computed from m and n, see Theorem 2.1), that is,

$$Z_{\text{tot}}(C_{m,n}) \sim (Z(cA_{m+n-1}))^d \,, \tag{1.15}$$

where $Z_{\text{tot}}(C_{m,n})$ is defined as the product of partition functions of its crepant resolutions,

$$Z_{\text{tot}}(C_{m,n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_\Delta} Z(C^i_{m,n}) \,. \tag{1.16}$$



Figure 1: Schematic representation of the steps followed to compute the partition function on the dual conifolds

Note that $C_{m,n}$ has $N_\Delta = \binom{m+n}{m}$ resolutions and cA_{m+n-1} has only one [GKMR]. Thus, we have obtained a method to simplify considerably the computation of the topological string partition function on generalised conifolds, instead of computing $\binom{m+n}{m}$ partition functions it is only necessary to compute one.

The procedure to find this result is described schematically on Figure 1.

Apart from the computational advantage, we would like to stress that there is a duality between the topological string partition functions computed on target spaces $C_{m,n}$ and cA_{m+n-1}. From the physical point of view, we are relating a topological string theory with two different target spaces. Note that the threefold cA_{m+n-1} is actually a product $A_{m+n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ of a singular surface and a line, thus two real dimensions decouple and we are left with a theory in 4 dimensions. In particular, the partition function can be computed on a six dimensional generalised conifold $C_{m,n}$ or equivalently on the four dimensional A_{m+n-1} orbifold[1]. Similar to what happens for T-duality in string theory we obtain two dual geometric target spaces. From the mathematical point view, we expect that there is an intimate relation between some topological invariants (for example, Gromov–Witten, Gopakumar–Vafa, Donaldson–Thomas invariants) defined on these two duals conifolds.

[1] In section 4, we give an explanation for this decoupling from the perspective of Gromov-Witten theory on $A_n \times \mathbb{C}$.

2 Partition function on singular Calabi–Yaus

Given the topological string partition functions for theories with target on smooth varieties, [GKMR] defined the corresponding partition functions for theories with target on singular varieties. Their method was to take an average over partition functions calculated over all crepant resolutions. Although the same method applies whenever the singular space has a finite number of resolutions, their focus was on Calabi–Yau threefolds. We first recall their construction.

2.1 Toric Calabi–Yau threefolds

Suppose we have a singular Calabi–Yau space X and a finite collection of crepant resolutions $X^t \to X$ for index $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $|\mathcal{T}| < \infty$. Assume further that a partition function $Z_{\text{old}}(Y; Q, \dots)$ defined for smooth Calabi–Yau varieties Y is known, where $Q = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots)$ are formal variables corresponding to a basis of $H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. Additionally, we suppose that $H_2(X^s; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(X^t; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $s, t \in \mathcal{T}$.

We define a new partition function Z_{new} for X as follows. Firstly, we identify the formal variables Q among all the resolutions. That is, we set

$$Q_i^s = Q_i^t =: Q_i \ \text{ for all } \ s, t \in \mathcal{T} \,. \tag{2.1}$$

Secondly, we define

$$Z_{\text{new}}(X; Q, \dots) := \prod_{t \in \mathcal{T}} Z_{\text{old}}(X^t; Q^t, \dots) \,, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\dots$ stands for other possible variables that the partition function could depend on. The new partition function captures information from all possible resolutions of X, and thus can be regarded as describing the singular variety X itself.

The main result of [GKMR] shows that the new partition function is homogeneous (see definition 2.1 below). We will show that this result implies that cones on weighted projective spaces have a privileged role amongst Calabi–Yau threefolds, in a sense that we will make precise using the topological string partition functions.

Let us consider the case of toric Calabi–Yau threefolds without compact 4-cycles. Such a threefold X corresponds to a chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s. Over these $\mathbb{P}^1$'s we have the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. The topological string partition function in this case is given by [AKMV, IKP]

$$Z_{\text{top}}(q, Q) = (M(q))^{\chi/2} \; Z'(q, Q) \,, \tag{2.3}$$

where $M(q)$ is the MacMahon function

$$M(q) := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^k)^k} \,, \tag{2.4}$$

and $Z'(q, Q)$ is the reduced partition function[2]

$$Z'(q, Q) := \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le \chi - 1} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q_i Q_{i+1} \cdots Q_j q^k)^{k s_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_j} \,. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, χ is the Euler characteristics of X, $Q_1, \dots, Q_{\chi-1}$ are related to the the Kähler parameters[3] associated to the $\mathbb{P}^1$'s, and from the physical perspective q is related to the topological string coupling constant. Note that the number

[2] See section 2.4 of [OSY].

[3] We have $Q_i = \exp(-t_i)$ where t_i are the Kähler parameters.

of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s is $\chi - 1$. There are two possible values for s_i, that is, $s_i = -1$ or $+1$ depending on whether the i-th $\mathbb{P}^1$ is resolved by $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

Definition 2.1. *A partition function $Z(q, Q)$ of variables $Q = (Q_1, Q_2, \cdots)$ is called* homogeneous of degree d *if its reduced part $Z'(q, Q)$ has the form*

$$Z'(q, Q) = \left(\prod_{1 \le i \le j \le \chi - 1} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q_i Q_{i+1} \cdots Q_j q^k)^k \right)^d . \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.1. *The definition of homogeneous partition function given in [GKMR] is slightly different from ours. Here we have made a correction, namely to remove the MacMahon factor* (2.4) *to the power $\chi/2$ that appears in Z but not in Z'. Such factor appears in each expression of Z for a given singular threefold as many times as the number of its crepant resolutions. Their main result states that the new partition function they define is homogeneous, but the precise statement actually requires our definition 2.1.*

2.2 Generalised conifolds

Given a pair of non-negative integers m, n, we consider the toric varieties

$$C_{m,n} := \{(x, y, z, w) \mid xy - z^m w^n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]. \tag{2.7}$$

We refer to this specific type of toric varieties as generalized conifolds.

Definition 2.2. *A partition function for a Calabi–Yau manifold Y is of* curve-counting type *if it can be expressed in terms of the Donaldson–Thomas, Gromov–Witten or Gopakumar–Vafa partition function up to a factor depending only on the Euler characteristic of Y.*

Theorem 2.1. *[GKMR] Let X be a toric Calabi–Yau threefold defined as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^4$ by $X = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/\langle xy - z^m w^n \rangle$, where m and n are non-negative integers. Let $Z(X^t; q, Q)$ be a partition function of curve-counting type. Then the total partition function*

$$Z_{tot}(X; q, Q) := \prod_{t \in \mathcal{T}} Z(X^t; q, Q), \tag{2.8}$$

is homogeneous of degree

$$\begin{aligned} d =& 2\binom{m+n-2}{m} + 2\binom{m+n-2}{n} - \binom{m+n}{n} \\ =& \frac{(m+n-2)!}{m!n!}(m^2 - m + n^2 - n - 2mn) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

See [GKMR] for a proof of this theorem and further details.

Now consider the threefold $C_{0,n} \cong cA_{n-1}$ given by $xy - w^n = 0$. Section 4 of [GKMR] observes that $C_{0,n}$ are quotients of $\mathbb{C}^3$ by $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acting on a two-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C}^2$ as $(a, b, c) \mapsto (\varepsilon a, \varepsilon^{-1} b, c)$, with $\varepsilon^n = 1$. These spaces have 1-dimensional singularities, as $C_{0,n} \cong A_{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$, where $A_{n-1} = \{(x, y, z) \mid xy - w^n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ is the A_{n-1} orbifold (with a singular point at the origin). They play an important role amongst all the $C_{m,n}$ threefolds.

Corollary 2.1. *Let X be a toric Calabi–Yau threefold defined as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^4$ by $X = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]/\langle xy - z^m w^n \rangle$, where m and n are non-negative integers. Let $Z(X; q, Q)$ be a partition function of curve-counting type. Then the total partition function is given by*

$$Z(X; q, Q) = \left((M(q))^{\chi/2} \right)^{\binom{m+n}{n}} \prod_{t \in \mathcal{T}} Z'(X^t; q, Q). \tag{2.10}$$

where $M(q)$ is the MacMahon function and $Z'(X^t;q,Q)$ represents the reduced partition function of the crepant resolution t.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1,

$$Z_{\text{tot}}(X;q,Q)=\prod_{t\in\mathcal{T}}Z(X^t;q,Q), \tag{2.11}$$

We know, by [GKMR], that the number of crepant resolutions of X is $\binom{m+n}{n}$.

Given X^t a crepant resolution of X, it follows that

$$Z(X^t;q,Q)=\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq\chi-1}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_iQ_{i+1}\cdots Q_jq^k)^{kq_iq_{i+1}\cdots q_j}. \tag{2.12}$$

So, when we run the product over all crepant resolutions the term $\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}=(M(q))^{\chi/2}$ appears $\binom{m+n}{n}$ times. Therefore,

$$Z(X;q,Q)=\left((M(q))^{\chi/2}\right)^{\binom{m+n}{n}}\prod_{t\in\mathcal{T}}Z'(X^t;q,Q). \tag{2.13}$$

□

2.2.1 Partition functions on $C_{m,n}$ and cA_{m+n-1}

Note that by Proposition 4.1 (1) of [GKMR] the number of triangles on each triangulation of $C_{m,n}$ (which is $m+n$) is equal to the number of triangles in the unique triangulation of $C_{0,m+n}\cong cA_{m+n-1}$. It follows that the factors of the product

$$\prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq\chi-1}(1-Q_i\cdots Q_jq^k)^{ks_i\cdots s_j} \tag{2.14}$$

are equal up to the powers s_k's; in fact, all such resolutions have the same number of Q_i's, hence the summation runs over the same indices $1\leq i\leq j\leq\chi-1$, only the exponents s_i vary according to the resolution.

Now let $X=\mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy-z^mw^n\rangle$ and $Y=\mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy-w^{m+n}\rangle$. The partition function of $C_{0,l}\cong cA_{l-1}$ has degree $d=1$ for all positive integer l, see Theorem 2.1. This implies that the partition function of Y is homogeneous of degree 1, so we can write

$$Z'(Y;q,Q)=\prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq\chi-1}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_i\cdots Q_jq^k)^k. \tag{2.15}$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 2.1, the partition function of X is homogeneous of degree d. Therefore,

$$Z'(X;q,Q)=\left(\prod_{1\leq i\leq j\leq\chi-1}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_i\cdots Q_jq^k)^k\right)^d. \tag{2.16}$$

So we proved the following corollary of Theorem 2.1:

Corollary 2.2. *Let X, Y be singular Calabi–Yau threefolds defined as subsets of $\mathbb{C}^4$ by $X=\mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy-z^mw^n\rangle$ and $Y=\mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy-w^{m+n}\rangle$, respectively. Then*

$$Z'(X;q,Q)=Z'(Y;q,Q)^d, \tag{2.17}$$

where d is the degree of $Z_{\text{tot}}(X;q,Q)$.

By Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 the partition function of $X = \mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy - z^m w^n\rangle$ is completely described by

$$Z_{\text{top}}(X;q,Q) = \left((M(q))^{\chi/2}\right)^{\binom{m+n}{m}} Z'(Y;q,Q)^d, \tag{2.18}$$

where $Y = \mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy - w^{m+n}\rangle$.

Thus, we have explicitly shown a relation between the topological string partition function on a Calabi–Yau threefold of the form $X = \mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy - z^m w^n\rangle$, hence a generalised conifold $C_{m,n}$, and one of the form $Y = \mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy - w^{m+n}\rangle$, a compound du Val singularity cA_{m+n-1}. As we have pointed out the computation on $C_{0,m+n} \cong cA_{m+n-1}$ is simpler because $C_{m,n}$ has $\binom{m+n}{m}$ resolutions whereas cA_{m+n-1} has only one.

3 Example

We now illustrate the different ways to calculate partition functions exploring one concrete example in full details. Consider $X = C_{2,3} := \mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy - z^2w^3\rangle$, so that the crepant resolutions of X are represented by $X_1,\dots,X_{10}$ as depicted on Figure 2, where a green line represents a resolution by $\mathcal{O}(-1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(-1)\to\mathbb{P}^1$ and a red line represents a resolution by $\mathcal{O}(-2)\oplus\mathcal{O}\to\mathbb{P}^1$. We can compute the partition function of the singular threefold X in two ways: either directly from definition (2.2), or through expression (2.18) that uses the threefold $C_{0,5}$.

Firstly, to calculate directly from the definition, we write down the partition function for each crepant resolution. The 10 crepant resolutions and their corresponding partition functions are:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X_1,q,Q) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}\\ &\quad (1-Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_4q^k)^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X_2,q,Q) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k\\ &\quad (1-Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_4q^k)^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X_3,q,Q) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k\\ &\quad (1-Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_4q^k)^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(X_4,q,Q) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}\\ &\quad (1-Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_4q^k)^{-k} \end{aligned}$$



(a) X_1 (b) X_2 (c) X_3 (d) X_4 (e) X_5 (f) X_6 (g) X_7 (h) X_8 (i) X_9 (j) X_{10}

Figure 2: Crepant resolutions of $C_{2,3}$

$$Z(X_5,q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_4q^k)^{-k}$$

$$Z(X_6,q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_4q^k)^{-k}$$

$$Z(X_7,q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_4q^k)^k$$

$$Z(X_8,q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_4q^k)^k$$

$$Z(X_9,q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_4q^k)^{-k}$$

$$Z(X_{10},q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-k}$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_3q^k)^{-k}(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_4q^k)^{-k}.$$

After calculating these 10 partition functions individually for the crepant resolutions of X we take their product, thus obtaining:

$$Z(X,q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{10\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-2k}$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_3q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^{-2k}(1-Q_4q^k)^{-2k}$$
$$= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{5\chi}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k\right.$$
$$\left.(1-Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_4q^k)^k\right)^{-2}.$$

Secondly, using expression (2.18) we consider the auxiliary threefold $Y = C_{0,5} := \mathbb{C}[x,y,z,w]/\langle xy - w^5\rangle$ which has a unique crepant resolution, see Figure 3, and partition function given by:

$$Z(Y;q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{\chi/2}\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k$$
$$(1-Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_4q^k)^k.$$

Since the reduced partition function of X satisfies

$$Z'(X;q,Q) = Z'(Y;q,Q)^d,$$



Figure 3: Crepant resolution of $C_{0,5}$

where $d = \frac{(m+n-2)!}{m!n!}(m^2 - m + n^2 - n - 2mn) = -2$, it follows that the partition function of X is

$$Z(X,q,Q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1-q^k)^k}\right)^{5\chi}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-Q_1q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k\right.$$
$$\left.(1-Q_2q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_2Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_3q^k)^k(1-Q_3Q_4q^k)^k(1-Q_4q^k)^k\right)^{-2}.$$

4 Final remarks

Although the other compound du Val singularities cD and cE type, are not toric varieties, it could be expected that in these cases there exists as well a dual variety in 4D with equivalent topological string partition function. We plan to address this issue in future work.

D. Maulik gave a complete solution for the reduced Gromov-Witten theory of A_n singularities, for any genus and arbitrary descendent insertions in [M]. He also studied and described the threefold $A_n \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Now, if instead of $\mathbb{P}^1$ we have the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, that is, we want to describe Gromov-Witten theory on $A_n \times \mathbb{C}$, then any curve gets contracted under the projection to $\mathbb{C}$, so it is basically the same as the Gromov-Witten theory of A_n with a Hodge class inserted. The solution on the threefold $A_n \times \mathbb{C}$ can also be obtained from the $A_n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ studied in [M] by restricting to the $\beta = 0$ constant map case (we are grateful to Davesh Maulik for explaining this point to us).
Hopefully the proposed duality extends to arbitrary descendent insertions (or, from a physical point of view, equivalence of correlation functions of operators described by descendent insertions) and not only to the partition function, then, using the correspondence between $C_{m,n}$ and $A_{m+n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$, in principle, we should be able to write the complete solution for Gromov-Witten theory on generalised conifolds $C_{m,n}$. In other words, the Gromov-Witten invariants on $C_{m,n}$ could be equivalently computed on the simpler variety $A_{m+n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$. We will tackle this point in future work.

We would like to emphasise that the duality that we have been describing is essentially between the six dimensional variety $C_{m,n}$ and the four dimensional one A_{m+n-1}, as suggested by Maulik the Gromov-Witthen theory on $A_n \times \mathbb{C}$ is basically the same as the Gromov-Witten theory of A_n with a Hodge class inserted.

Acknowledgements

The idea of comparing the partition function on $C_{m,n}$ and $C_{0,m+n}$ came from a discussion of Piotr Sułkowski and the first author. We are thankful to P. Sułkowski whose suggestions inspired this work. We thank Davesh Maulik for clarifying the relation between Gromov-Witten theory on the varieties: $A_m \times \mathbb{C}$, A_m and $A_m \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

This collaboration started during a visit of E. Gasparim to the department of Physics of UNAB in Santiago. Our special thanks to Per Sundell for his hospitality and support under CONICYT grant DPI 20140115.

C. A. B. Varea was partially supported by the Vice Rectora de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico of UCN, Chile. A. Torres-Gomez was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea through the grant NRF-2014R1A6A3A04056670 at the final stage of this work.

References

[AKMV] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino and C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Commun. Math. Phys. 254, 425 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0305132].

[CW] A. Collinucci and T. Wyder, *Introduction to topological string theory*, Report number: KUL-TF-07/24.

[GKMR] E. Gasparim, T. Köppe, P. Majumdar, and K. Ray, *BPS Countaing on Singular Varieties*, J.Phys. A45 (2012) 265401 [arXiv:1105.1068 [math.AG]].

[IKP] A. Iqbal and A. K. Kashani-Poor, *The vertex on a strip*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 10, 317 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0410174].

[M] D. Maulik, *Gromov-Witten theory of A_n-resolutions*, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009) 1729-1773 [arXiv:0802.2681 [math.AG]].

[MNOP1] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I*, Compositio Mathematica **142** no. 5, 1263–1285 (2006) [arXiv:math/0312059].

[MNOP2] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, II*, Compositio Mathematica **142** no. 5, 1286–1304 (2006) [arXiv:math/0406092].

[OSY] H. Ooguri, P. Sułkowski, M. Yamazaki, *Wall crossing as seen by matrix models*, Commun.Math.Phys. 307 (2011) 429-462 [arXiv:1005.1293 [hep-th]].

Elizabeth Gasparim
Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte, Antofagasta, Chile
etgasparim@gmail.com

Carlos A. B. Varea
Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica, Universidade Estaudal de Campinas, Campinas, Brasil
carlosbassanivarea@gmail.com

Bruno Suzuki
Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte, Antofagasta, Chile
obrunosuzuki@gmail.com

Alexander Torres-Gomez
Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago, Chile
and
School of Physics & Astronomy and Center for Theoretical Physics Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
and
Gauge, Gravity & Strings, Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe Institute for Basic Sciences, Daejeon, Korea
alexander.torres.gomez@gmail.com